

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEBORAH NEBENZAHL,

CASE NO. C 06-00778 SC

Plaintiff(s),

v.

CORNING INCORPORATED, et al.

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Defendant(s).  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

**Court Processes:**

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)

✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*

**Private Process:**

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) \_\_\_\_\_


The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

✓ the presumptive deadline (*The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.*)

other requested deadline \_\_\_\_\_

Dated: May 26, 2006

Dated: May 26, 2006



Attorney for Plaintiff  
E. Gerard Mannion

Attorney for Defendant  
Lane J. Lopez

05/26/2006 08:44 4154344810

MANNION &amp; LOWE

PAGE 02/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEBORAH NEBENZAHL,

CASE NO. C 06-00778 SC

Plaintiff(s),

v.

CORNING INCORPORATED, et al.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESSDefendant(s).  
\_\_\_\_\_

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

**Court Processes:**

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)

✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*

**Private Process:**

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) \_\_\_\_\_


The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

✓ the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*

other requested deadline \_\_\_\_\_

Dated: May 26, 2006

Dated: May 26, 2006

  
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. Gerard Mannion

  
Attorney for Defendant

Lane J. Lopez

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

X Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

X 90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 31, 2006

